UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,609	09/05/2006	Jurgen Meyer	39509-236168	3090
26694 VENABLE LLI	7590 05/30/200 P	8	EXAM	IINER
P.O. BOX 3438		LOEWE, ROBERT S		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20043-9998		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/591,609	MEYER ET AL. Art Unit 1796 I/A. If an agreement was (1) a side-by-side coarthel, or (2) technical aims, specifically in the class of the class o				
merview dumnary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ROBERT LOEWE	1796				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>ROBERT LOEWE</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Thomas Wiseman</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 27 May 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant	2) <mark> applicant's representative</mark>	•]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: all pending.						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Bergstrom and Barthel</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. ♀	g)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It was agreed upon that Applicant's may submit either (1) a side-by-side comparison of the claimed pyrogenically produced silica with the silica as taught by Bergstrom and Barthel, or (2) technical arguments explicitly aimed at how the prior art references do not anticipate the instant claims, specifically in regards to the DBP absorption value of instant claim 1. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	/Randy Gulakowski/ SPE, Art Unit 1796 Examiner's signature, if requi	red				